

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,354	01/22/2004	Michiaki Sakamoto	NEC01P012-JTb	3258
21254 7	7590 03/07/2006		EXAM	INER
MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC			TON, MINH TOAN T	
8321 OLD CO	URTHOUSE ROAD			
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
VIENNA, VA	22182-3817		2871	

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/761,354	SAKAMOTO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Toan Ton	2871	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perionally reply received by the office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/S,
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			-
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a complicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the least or the specific state of the specific sta	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			٠
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in a iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
	;. ·	•	
	*		
Attachment(s)	·		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ·	•

Election/Restriction

1. An election without traverse of species I directing to claims 9-10 is acknowledged. Thus, claims 1-6, 9-13 and 18 are being examined, and claims 14-17 and 19-20 are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hiji et al (JP 6-273802, IDS).

Hiji discloses an active-matrix liquid crystal display (LCD) device comprising: a first substrate 1'; a second substrate 2' disposed in opposing relation to the first substrate; a liquid crystal layer 3 sandwiched between the first substrate and the second substrate; an overcoating layer 18 disposed on the first substrate; a plurality of pixel electrodes 7 arranged in a matrix on the first substrate and on the overcoat layer; a plurality of switching elements disposed on the first substrate in association with the pixel electrodes, respectively, for driving the pixel electrodes, respectively; a plurality of data lines 4 disposed on the first substrate at respective gaps between adjacent two of the pixel electrodes, for supplying data signals to the switching elements; and a black matrix 11 disposed on the first substrate in association with the data lines, for blocking light passing in a predetermined viewing angle range through a light leakage region

created in the liquid crystal layer depending on a potential difference between adjacent two of the pixel electrodes.

Hiji discloses in page 2, paragraph [8] to paragraph [10]: the conventional active-matrix LCD device, in a non-selection period, the potential difference may be produced between the pixel electrode and a signal/scanning line, and a longitudinal direction electric field may occur at the periphery of the pixel electrode \Rightarrow the reverse tilt field comprises the problem of reducing the contrast of the display. Hiji solves the problem by employing a black matrix covering the portion where the reverse tilt field occurs.

4. Claims 2, 5, 12, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiji as applied to claims 1, 4, 11 above.

Hiji fails to disclose color filters. However, the use of color filters on either substrate is common and known for providing a color display device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ color filters on the first substrate, as common and known for providing a color display device.

5. Claims 3, 6, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiji as applied to claims 2, 5, 12, 18 above, and further in view of Sato et al (US 5718992, IDS).

Hiji fails to disclose the black matrix made of electrically insulating material.

Metal is a common and known material for a black matrix, however, the use of metal yields several problems such as pinholes, high light reflectance that leads to inferior viewing properties, low quality color display device (see col. 2, lines 6-19 of Sato). These problems are

Application/Control Number: 10/761,354 Page 4

Art Unit: 2871

overcome through the use of resin composition admixed with black pigments (see col. 2, lines 20-22 of Sato). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ an electrically insulating material such as resin composition admixed with black pigments for preventing problems such as pinholes, high light reflectance that leads to inferior viewing properties, low quality color display device.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 9-10 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not anticipate nor render obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art an active matrix liquid crystal display device comprising a combination of various elements as claimed, more specifically, the black matrix having a portion overlapping the pixel electrodes, the portion having a width W represented by $W \ge d_{LC}/4 + d_{oc}$. $\tan\theta$, where d_{LC} represents a thickness of the liquid crystal layer, d_{oc} represents a thickness of the overcoat layer on the black matrix, and θ represents one-half of a given viewing angle 2θ .

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2871

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2303

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 2, 2006

TONITON EXAMINER